PRODUCTS

Cerebrosides and 2-Pyridone Alkaloids from the Halotolerant Fungus *Penicillium chrysogenum* Grown in a Hypersaline Medium

Xiaoping Peng,[‡] Yi Wang,[‡] Kunlai Sun, Peipei Liu, Xia Yin, and Weiming Zhu^{*}

Key Laboratory of Marine Drugs, Chinese Ministry of Education, School of Medicine and Pharmacy, Ocean University of China, Qingdao 266003, People's Republic of China

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Five new cerebrosides, chrysogesides A–E (1– 5), and two new 2-pyridone alkaloids, chrysogedones A and B (6 and 7), were isolated from the fermentation broth of *Penicillium chrysogenum* PXP-55, a halotolerant fungus grown in a hypersaline medium. Among them, chrysogesides B–D (2–4) are the first cerebrosides that contain an unsaturated C₁₉-fatty acid. Their structures were identified by spectroscopic and chemical methods, including CD spectroscopy as well as the modified Mosher's method. Compound 2 showed antimicrobial activity against *Enterobacter aerogenes* with an MIC value of 1.72 μ M.

s part of our ongoing efforts to discover structurally novel A and bioactive natural compounds from halotolerant fungi,¹⁻³ a marine-derived halotolerant fungal strain, PXP-55, identified as Penicillium chrysogenum, was isolated from the surface of the roots of the mangrove plant, Rhizophora stylosa (Rhizophoraceae), collected in Wenchang, Hainan Province, China. The fungus P. chrysogenum has been reported to produce polyketides, prenylated polyketides, and terpenoids such as berkeleydione,⁴ deoxyartemisinin,⁵ trichodimerol,⁶ and xanthohumol 4'-O- β -glucopyranoside⁷ and the sorbicillinoid alkaloids sorbicillactones A and B.⁸ The EtOAc extract of the fermentation broth of Penicillium chrysogenum PXP-55 grown at 10% salinity showed cytotoxicity against P388 cells and a distinct HPLC profile from extracts produced at 0% and 3% salinity (Figure S40). Chemical studies of this extract resulted in the isolation and identification of five new cerebrosides, chrysogesides A-E (1-5), and two new 2-pyridone alkaloids, chrysogedones A and B (6 and 7), together with six known compounds, pyrrole-3-carboxylic acid,⁹ 3-(hydroxymethyl)-6-[4-(3-methylbut-2-enyloxy) benzyl]piperazine-2,5-dione,¹⁰ 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)phenol,¹¹ 2-(2-hydroxyphenyl)acetic acid,¹² methyl 2-(4-hydroxyphenyl) acetate,¹³ and 2-(4-hydroxyphenyl)acetic acid.¹⁴





Chrysogeside A (1) was obtained as an amorphous, white powder. The molecular formula was determined to be $C_{40}H_{74}NO_9$ according to a HRESIMS peak at m/z 712.5361 $[M + H]^+$. The NMR spectra and the ESIMS/MS pattern of 1 were almost the same as those of alternaroside C_{1}^{3} a compound we isolated from Alternaria raphani, except for the presence of a C₁₆ sphingosine chain rather than a C_{18} chain. The loss of m/z 252.2 $(C_{16}H_{30}NO)$ and the fragment at m/z 280.3 $(C_{18}H_{32}O_2)$ in the ESIMS/MS spectrum (Figures S24 and S38) supported that the sphingosine and fatty acid units were 2-amino-1,3-dihydroxyhexadec-4,8-diene and 2-hydroxyoctadec-3-enoic acid, respectively. Methanolysis of 1 gave methyl 2R-hydroxyoctadec-3-enoate $([\alpha]^{25}_{D} - 56)$, which was identified by ESIMS and ¹H NMR data $(m/z 335 [M + Na]^+$, Figures S29 and S32) and methyl D-glucopyranosides ($[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ +74, ESIMS m/z 195 $[M + H]^{+}$).¹⁵ The NMR signals of the anomeric proton and carbon at $\delta_{H/C}$ 4.10 (d, I = 7.7/103.5 (CH) suggested the β -configuration of the glucoside.³ The values of $\delta_{C-1-C-13}$ and $\delta_{C-1'-C-15'}$ and the specific rotation ($[\alpha]^{25}_{D}$ –6) of **1** were close to those of alternaroside C ($[\alpha]^{20}_{D}$ –4),³ suggesting that **1** shared the same 2*S*,2'*R*,3*R*- and 3'E,4E,8Z-configurations with alternaroside C. Thus, the structure of 1 was established as (2R,3E)-2-hydroxy-N-[(2S,3R,4E,8Z)-l- β -D-glucopyranosyloxy-3-hydroxyhexadec-4,8-dien-2-yl]octadec-3enamide.

Chrysogesides B–D (2–4) were also cerebrosides with molecular formulas of $C_{41}H_{75}NO_9$, $C_{40}H_{73}NO_9$, and $C_{43}H_{79}NO_9$ by HRESIMS (m/z 726.5493 [M + H]⁺, 712.5346 [M + H]⁺, and 754.5852 [M + H]⁺, respectively). The similar NMR spectroscopic data (Table 1 and Table S1)

Received:December 8, 2010Published:March 07, 2011



Table 1. ¹H and ¹³C NMR Data for 1 and 2 (¹H 600 MHz, ¹³C 150 MHz, DMSO- d_6 , TMS, δ ppm)

	1		2	
no.	$\delta_{ m C}$	$\delta_{ m H}$ (<i>J</i> in Hz)	$\delta_{ m C}$	$\delta_{ m H} \left(J ext{ in Hz} ight)$
1	68.6, CH ₂	3.95, m; 3.50, dd (11.0, 4.4)	68.7, CH ₂	3.95, m; 3.51, dd (11.0, 4.4)
2	52.9, CH	3.78, m	52.9, CH	3.78, m
3	70.5, CH	3.97, m	70.5, CH	3.97, m
4	131.0, CH	5.36, dd (14.3, 6.6)	130.9, CH	5.36, dd (15.6, 6.6)
5	130.7, CH	5.55, dt (14.3, 6.2)	130.9, CH	5.56, dt (15.6, 6.2)
6	32.0, CH ₂	1.97, m	27.4, CH ₂	1.94, m
7	28.6, CH ₂	1.97, m	32.2, CH ₂	1.94, m
8	129.5, CH	5.38, "t" like (3.3)	123.5, CH	5.09, br t (5.6)
9	130.2, CH	5.38, "t" like (3.3)	134.8, C	
10	28.7, CH ₂	1.97, m	39.5, CH ₂	1.94, m
11	31.9, CH ₂	1.23, m	27.3, CH ₂	1.23, m
12	29.0, CH ₂	1.23, m	29.1, CH ₂	1.23, m
13	28.9, CH ₂	1.23, m	31.4, CH ₂	1.26, m
14	31.3, CH ₂	1.26, m	22.1, CH ₂	1.29, m
15	22.1, CH ₂	1.29, m	13.9, CH ₃	0.85, t (6.6)
16	13.9, CH ₃	0.85, t (6.6)		
CH ₃ -9			15.7, CH ₃	1.54, br s
1'	172.0, C		172.0, C	
2'	71.9, CH	4.30, br t (5.5)	71.9, CH	4.30, br t (4.4)
3'	129.0, CH	5.43, dd (15.4, 5.5)	129.1, CH	5.43, dd (15.4, 5.5)
4′	130.9, CH	5.67, dt (15.4, 6.6)	130.9, CH	5.68, dt (15.4, 6.4)
5'	31.7, CH ₂	1.97, m	31.7, CH ₂	1.94, m
6'-15'	$29.0 imes 10$, CH_2	1.23, m	$29.1 \times 10, \text{CH}_2$	1.23, m
16'	31.3, CH ₂	1.26, m	28.8, CH ₂	1.23, m
17'	22.1, CH ₂	1.29, m	31.4, CH ₂	1.26, m
18'	14.0, CH ₃	0.85, t (6.6)	22.1, CH ₂	1.29, m
19'			13.9, CH ₃	0.85, t (6.6)
1''	103.5, CH	4.10, d (7.7)	103.5, CH	4.11, d (7.7)
2''	73.4, CH	2.96, m	73.4, CH	2.96, m
3''	76.5, CH	3.13, m	76.6, CH	3.14, m
4''	70.0, CH	3.03, m	70.0, CH	3.04, m
5''	76.9, CH	3.08, m	76.9, CH	3.08, m
6''	61.0, CH ₂	3.66, dd (12.0, 6.6);	61.1, CH ₂	3.66, br dd (12.0, 6.6);
		3.43, ddd (11.0, 5.5, 5.4)		3.43, ddd (12.0, 6.6, 5.5)
NH		7.39, d (9.9)		7.39, d (8.8)
OH-3		4.95, d (5.5)		4.95, d (5.5)
OH-2′		5.78, d (4.4)		5.78, d (5.0)
OH-2"		4.99, d (4.6)		4.98, d (4.6)
OH-3''		4.92, d (4.4)		4.92, d (5.0)
OH-4″		4.93, d (4.4)		4.94, d (4.6)
ОН-6″		4.52, t (6.6)		4.52, t (6.0)

and the same ESIMS/MS patterns (Figures S26, S27, and S38) of 2-4 compared to those of alternaroside B³ suggested they are analogues. Methanolysis of 2-4 afforded the same methyl D-glucopyranosides as found for 1 and the same methyl C₁₉-fatty acid ester that was identified by GC-MS (t_R 16.92 min, m/z 326 [M]⁺, Figure S37) and further supported by the key fragments at m/z 294.3 (C₁₉H₃₄O₂) and 276.3 (C₁₉H₃₂O) in the ESIMS/MS spectra of 2-4, indicating that the difference among compounds 2-4 is the chain length of the sphingosine unit. Fatty acid swith odd carbons are rare in nature, and the methyl C₁₉-fatty acid ester is a new structure that was carefully identified as methyl

(2*R*,3*E*)-2-hydroxynonadec-3-enoate by MS and NMR analysis including the large $J_{3',4'}$ (15.4 Hz) and comparison of the $[\alpha]^{25}_{D}$ (-51) with the specific rotation of (2*R*,3*E*)-hydroxyoctadec-3enoate. The loss of m/z 252.2 (C₁₆H₃₀NO) for **2**, m/z 238.2 (C₁₅H₂₈NO) for **3**, and m/z 280.3 (C₁₈H₃₄NO) for **4** in the ESIMS/MS spectra indicated that sphingosine units of **2**-4 were 2-amino-1,3-dihydroxy-9-methylpentadec-4,8-diene, 2-amino-1,3-dihydroxy-9-methyltetradec-4,8-diene, and 2-amino-1,3-dihydroxy-9-methylheptadec-4,8-diene, respectively. This deduction was also confirmed by ¹H-¹H COSY correlations from H-1 to H-8 and from H-13 to H-15 and the key HMBC correlations



Figure 1. Key ¹H⁻¹H COSY and HMBC correlations of 2, 6, and 7 and $\Delta\delta$ (= $\delta_S - \delta_R$) values for (S)- and (R)-MTPA esters of 6.

from H-8, H-10, and CH₃-9 to the sp²-quaternary carbon (C-9) (Figure 1). The coincidence of NMR data from C-1 to C-10 and C-1' to C-4' and the close specific rotations $([\alpha]^{25}{}_{\rm D} - 8$ for 2, -5 for 3, and -4 for 4) to that of alternaroside B $([\alpha]^{20}{}_{\rm D} -9)^3$ supported the configurations of all sphingosine units in 2–4 as 2'S,3'R,4'E,8'E. Therefore, the new structures of 2–4 were determined as (2R,3E)-2-hydroxy-*N*-[(2S,3R,4E,8E)-1- β -D-glucopyranosyloxy-3-hydroxy-9-methylpentadec-4,8-dien-2-yl]nonadec-3-enamide (3), and (2R,3E)-2-hydroxy-*N*-[(2S,3R,4E,8E)-1- β -D-glucopyranosyloxy-3-hydroxy-9-methyltetradec-4,8-dien-2-yl]nonadec-3-enamide (3), and (2R,3E)-2-hydroxy-*N*-[(2S,3R,4E,8E)-1- β -D-glucopyranosyloxy-3-hydroxy-9-methyltetradec-4,8-dien-2-yl]nonadec-3-enamide (4).

The molecular formula of chrysogeside E(5) was determined to be $C_{41}H_{77}NO_9$ according to its HRESIMS peak at m/z 728.5646 $[M + H]^+$, with a molecular weight 2 amu greater than 2. Except for a saturated -CH₂CH₂- unit substitution for the corresponding -CH=CH- unit, the NMR spectrum of 5 was almost the same as that of 2. Besides, compound 5 also showed the same ESIMS/MS pattern at m/z 710.6 $[M + H - H_2O]^+$, 548.5 [M + $H - 180]^+$, 530.5 $[548.5 - H_2O]^+$, 296.3 $[548.5 - 252.2]^+$, and 278.3 $[296.3 - H_2O]^+$ (Figures S28 and S38), indicating that 5 was the hydrogenated derivative of the double bond of 2 in the fatty acid moiety. Methanolysis of 5 also gave methyl D-glucopyranosides and methyl 2R-hydroxynonadecanoate, which was identified by ¹H NMR and ESIMS data $(m/z 351 [M + Na]^+$, Figures S31 and S36) and specific rotation ($[\alpha]^{25}_{D}$ –50). These data combined with specific rotation ($[\alpha]^{25}_{D}$ –3) confirmed that the structure of 5 was (2R)-2-hydroxy-N-[(2S,3R,4E,8E)-l- β -D-glucopyranosyloxy-3-hydroxy-9-methylpentadec-4,8-dien-2-yl]nonadecanamide.

Chrysogedone A (6) was obtained as a yellow, amorphous powder. Its molecular formula was determined as C₁₀H₁₅NO₂ according to its HREIMS peak at m/z 181.1099 [M]⁺. Diagnostic IR absorption peaks were observed for a hydroxy group, an amide group, and a 2-pyridone nucleus at 3482, 3392, 1715, 1641, and 1557 cm⁻¹, respectively.¹⁶ Its 1D NMR spectra revealed three sp^2 quaternary carbons, two sp^2 and one sp^3 methines, two sp³ methylenes, and two methyl groups (Table 2). The two sp² methines at $\delta_{\rm H/C}$ 6.20/112.8 and 7.57/144.6 and three sp² quaternary carbons at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 161.5, 157.6, and 119.2 suggested a 5,6-disubstituted 2-pyridone nucleus, which was further supported by HMBC correlations from H-3 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 6.20, d) to C-5 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 119.2, C) and C-2 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 161.5, C) and from H-4 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 7.57, d) to C-6 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 157.6, C). ${}^{1}{\rm H}{-}^{1}{\rm H}$ COSY connections from OH-1' ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.16, br s) to H-4' ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.87, t) through H-1' ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.48, t), H-2' ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.42/1.58, m), and H-3' ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.21/1.33, m) indicated a CH3-CH2-CH2-CH-OH moiety in the molecule. The key HMBC correlations between H-4 and C-1' and between OH-1' and C-5 revealed that the C4-moiety was connected to the 5-position of the 2-pyridone nucleus. According to the HMBC connections of CH3- with C-5 and C-6, the remaining methyl group was linked to the 6-position of the

Table 2. ¹H and ¹³C NMR Data for 6 and 7 (¹H 600 MHz, ¹³C 150 MHz, DMSO- d_6 , TMS, δ ppm)

	6		7	
no.	$\delta_{ m C}$	$\delta_{ m H} \left(J ext{ in Hz} ight)$	$\delta_{ m C}$	$\delta_{ m H} \left(J ext{ in Hz} ight)$
2	161.5, C		161.3, C	
3	112.8, CH	6.20, d (9.2)	114.1, CH	6.30, d (9.2)
4	144.6, CH	7.57, d (9.7)	144.7, CH	7.62, d (9.6)
5	119.2, C		120.0, C	
6	157.6, C		158.4, C	
1'	66.2, CH	4.48, t (6.6)	65.5, CH	4.60, t (8.2)
2'	39.2, CH ₂	1.58, m; 1.42, m	39.4, CH ₂	1.57, m; 1.41, m
3'	18.3, CH ₂	1.33, m; 1.21, m	18.3, CH ₂	1.33, m; 1.21, m
4′	13.8, CH ₃	0.87, t (7.3)	13.9, CH ₃	0.86, t (7.3)
6-CH ₃ or	16.6, CH ₃	2.22, s	57.1, CH ₂	4.27, d (5.0)
6- <u>СН</u> 2ОН				
1'-OH		5.16, br s		5.20, d (3.7)
2- CH ₂ OH				5.53, t (5.9)

2-pyridone nucleus (Figure 1). The absolute configuration of **6** was determined by the modified Mosher's method.¹⁷ When reacted with (*R*)- and (*S*)-MTPA chloride, compound **6** gave the corresponding (*S*)- and (*R*)- MTPA esters **6a** and **6b**, respectively, and the ¹H NMR spectra of **6a** and **6b** were assigned according to their ¹H-¹H COSY correlations. The observed chemical shift differences $\Delta \delta_{S-R}$ (Figure 1) clearly defined the absolute configuration of C-1' as *S*. Thus, the structure of compound **6** was elucidated as (*S*)-5-(1-hydroxybutyl)-6-methylpyridin-2(1*H*)-one.

The molecular formula of chrysogedone B (7) was determined to be $C_{10}H_{15}NO_3$ based on the molecular ion peak at m/z 197.1041 [M]⁺ in the HREIMS spectrum, with one oxygen atom more than that of **6**. Its 1D NMR spectra were similar to those of **6** except for the lack of a methyl group, an additional oxygenated methylene group, and downfield shifts for C-3, C-5, and C-6. These data indicated that 7 was the hydroxylated derivative of **6** on the 6-methyl group, which was also supported by similar 2D NMR spectra (Figures S21–23). Compared with **6**, compound 7 displayed a close specific rotation ($[\alpha]^{25}_{D}$ –13 in 7 vs –17 in **6**) and similar CD Cotton effects at 208 ($\Delta \varepsilon$ –8.3), 233 ($\Delta \varepsilon$ +0.9), and 296 ($\Delta \varepsilon$ –1.2) nm (Figure S41), indicating the same *S*-configuration. Thus, the structure of **7** was elucidated as (*S*)-5-(1-hydroxybutyl)-6-(hydroxymethyl)pyridin-2(1*H*)-one.

The new isolates 1-5 were evaluated for cytotoxicity against P388 and HeLa cells with the MTT method,¹⁸ and 6 and 7 were assayed against HL-60 and A549 cells with the MTT and SRB methods,¹⁹ respectively. Their antimicrobial activities against Staphylococcus aureus, Escherichia coli, Enterobacter aerogenes, Bacillus subtilis, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and Candida albicans

were also evaluated by an agar dilution method.²⁰ Compound **2** showed antimicrobial activity against *Enterobacter aerogenes* with an MIC value of 1.72 μ M, while none of the compounds had cytotoxic effects on the four cancer cell lines (IC₅₀ > 50 μ M) or any additional antimicrobial activities (MIC > 150 μ M).

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Experimental Procedures. Specific rotations were obtained on a JASCO P-1020 digital polarimeter. UV spectra were recorded on a Waters 2487 absorbance detector. CD spectra were measured on a JASCO J-715 spectropolarimeter. IR spectra were taken on a Nicolet NEXUS 470 spectrophotometer in KBr disks. NMR data were recorded on a JEOL JNM-ECP 600 spectrometer using TMS as internal standard, and chemical shifts were recorded as δ values. ESIMS and EIMS were measured on a Q-TOF ULTIMA GLOBAL GAA076 LC and VG-Auto Spec-3000 mass spectrometer, respectively. The GC-MS system consisted of an Agilent 6890 gas chromatograph and an Agilent 5973 mass selective detector in the electron-ionization mode. Semipreparative HPLC was performed using an ODS column [YMC-pack ODS-A, 10 × 250 mm, 5 µm, 4 mL/min] on a Waters 600 multisolvent delivery system equipped with a photodiode array detector (Waters 996). TLC and column chromatography (CC) were performed on plates precoated with silica gel GF_{2.54} (10-40 μ m) and over silica gel (200-300 mesh, Qingdao Marine Chemical Factory) and Sephadex LH-20 (Amersham Biosciences), respectively. Vacuum-liquid chromatography (VLC) utilized silica gel H (Qingdao Marine Chemical Factory).

Fungal Material. The working strain *Penicillium chrysogenum* PXP-55 was isolated from the surface of the roots of the mangrove plant, *Rhizophora stylosa* (Rhizophoraceae), collected in Wenchang, Hainan Province, China. It was identified according to its morphological characteristics and 18S rRNA sequences (Supporting Information; GenBank GU227344) by Prof. C. X. Fang, China Center for Type Culture Collection. The voucher specimen was deposited in our laboratory at -80 °C. The producing strain was prepared on potato dextrose agar slants and stored at 4 °C.

Fermentation and Extraction. *P. chrysogenum* PXP-55 was incubated on a rotary shaker (180 rpm) at 28 °C for 10 days in 500 mL × 400 conical flasks containing the liquid medium (150 mL/flask) composed of maltose (20 g/L), mannitol (20 g/L), monosodium glutamate (10 g/L), glucose (10 g/L), yeast extract (3 g/L), corn steep liquor (1 g/L), MgSO₄ (0.3 g/L), KH₂PO₄ (0.5 g/L), artificial sea salt (100 g/L), and tap water after adjusting its pH to 7.0. The fermented whole broth (60 L) was filtered through cheesecloth to separate into filtrate and mycelia. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo to about a quarter of its original volume and then extracted three times with EtOAc to give an EtOAc solution, while the mycelia were extracted three times with acetone. The acetone solution was evaporated under reduced pressure to afford an aqueous solution. The aqueous solution Both EtOAc solutions were combined and concentrated in vacuo to give an EtOAc extract (40 g).

Purification. The EtOAc extract (40 g) was subjected to VLC on a silica gel column using step gradient elution with MeOH–CHCl₃ (0–100%). The collected materials were combined into five fractions based on TLC properties. Fractions 3 (3.5 g) and 4 (2.4 g) were eluted with petroleum ether–acetone (v/v 10:1, 8:1, 6:1, 3:1, 1:1) and purified into 10 subfractions on a silica gel column, respectively. Subfractions 3-3 (506 mg) and 3-6 (314 mg) were separated into three subfractions (3-3-1–3-3-3) and two subfractions (3-6-1 and 3-6-2) by a Sephadex LH-20 column (MeOH–CHCl₃, 1:1), respectively. The subfraction 3-3-3 (278 mg) was further separated by HPLC (35% MeOH) to yield pyrrole-3-carboxylic acid (84.6 mg, t_R 19 min), and the subfraction 3-6-1 (232 mg) was separated by HPLC (45% MeOH) to yield 6 (41.7 mg, t_R 8.4 min). Subfractions 4–4 (802 mg)/4–5 (460 mg)/4–10 (438 mg) were

separated into subfractions 4-4-1-4-4, 4-5-1 and 4-5-2, and 4-10-1-4-10-3 by a Sephadex LH-20 column (MeOH-CHCl₃, 1:1), respectively. Subfraction 4-4-2 (148 mg) was further separated by HPLC (30% MeOH) to yield methyl 2-(4-hydroxyphenyl)acetate (5.5 mg, t_R 15.6 min), subfraction 4-4-3 (212 mg) was separated by HPLC (20% MeOH and 0.2% TFA) to yield 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)phenol (6.4 mg, t_R 11.3 min), 2-(2-hydroxyphenyl)acetic acid (10.9 mg, t_R 16.5 min), and 2-(4hydroxyphenyl)acetic acid (24.3 mg, t_R 18.58 min), and subfraction 4-5-2 (221 mg) was separated by HPLC (30% MeOH) to yield 7 (9.5 mg, $t_{\rm R}$ 9.9 min). Subfraction 4-10-2 (192 mg) was further separated by HPLC (50% MeOH) to yield 3-(hydroxymethyl)-6-[4-(3-methylbut-2-enyloxy)benzyl]piperazine-2,5-dione (1.6 mg, t_R 13.7 min). Fraction 5 (3.2 g) was purified by Sephadex LH-20 eluted with CHCl3-MeOH (1:1) to yield subfractions 5-1-5-3. Subfraction 5-2 (925 mg) was purified by Sephadex LH-20 eluted with MeOH to afford subfraction 5-2-1 (408 mg), which was further purified by HPLC with 97% MeOH to give 1 (14.2 mg, t_R 9 min), 2 (215 mg, t_R 15 min), 3 (7.4 mg, t_R 7 min), 4 (7.5 mg, $t_{\rm R}$ 22 min), and 5 (12.5 mg, $t_{\rm R}$ 19 min).

Chrysogeside A (1): white, amorphous powder; $[\alpha]^{25}_{D} - 6$ (*c* 0.5, MeOH); IR (KBr) ν_{max} 3380, 2919, 2851, 1649, 1540, 1513, 1459, 1074, 1037 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR data, Table 1; HRESIMS *m*/*z* 712.5361 [M + H]⁺ (calcd for C₄₀H₇₄NO₉, 712.5364); ESIMS/MS *m*/*z* 712.6 [M + H]⁺, 694.6 [M + H - H₂O]⁺, 532.5 [M + H - 180]⁺, 514.5 [532.5 - H₂O]⁺, 496.5 [514.5 - H₂O]⁺, 280.3, 262.2, and 252.2.

Chrysogeside B (2): white, amorphous powder; $[\alpha]^{25}_{D} - 8$ (*c* 0.5, MeOH); IR (KBr) ν_{max} 3389, 2923, 2853, 1642, 1544, 1464, 1379, 1078, 1040 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR data, Table 1; HRESIMS *m/z* 726.5493 [M + H]⁺ (calcd for C₄₁H₇₆NO₉, 726.5520); ESIMS/MS *m/z* 726.5 [M + H]⁺, 708.5 [M + H - H₂O]⁺, 546.5 [M + H - 180]⁺, 528.5 [546.5 - H₂O]⁺, 510.5 [528.5 - H₂O]⁺, 294.3, 276.3, and 252.2.

Chrysogeside C (3): white, amorphous powder; $[\alpha]^{25}_{D} - 5 (c 0.4, MeOH)$; IR (KBr) ν_{max} 3374, 2923, 2851, 1535, 1523, 1451, 1379, 1071, 1020 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR data, Table S1; HRESIMS *m/z* 712.5346 [M + H]⁺ (calcd for C₄₀H₇₄NO₉, 712.5364); ESIMS/MS *m/z* 712.5 [M + H]⁺, 694.6 [M + H - H₂O]⁺, 532.5 [M + H - 180]⁺, 514.5 [532.5 - H₂O]⁺, 496.5 [514.5 - H₂O]⁺, 294.2, 276.3, and 238.2.

Chrysogeside D (4): white, amorphous powder; $[\alpha]^{25}_{D} - 4$ (*c* 0.37, MeOH); IR (KBr) ν_{max} 3380, 2919, 2851, 1649, 1540, 1513, 1459, 1074, 1037 cm⁻¹;, ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR data, Table S1; HRESIMS *m/z* 754.5852 [M + H]⁺ (calcd for C₄₃H₈₀NO₉, 754.5833); ESIMS/ MS *m/z* 754.7 [M + H]⁺, 736.6 [M + H - H₂O]⁺, 574.5 [M + H - 180]⁺, 556.5 [574.5 - H₂O]⁺, 538.5 [556.5 - H₂O]⁺, 294.3 and 280.3.

Chrysogeside E (5): white, amorphous powder; $[\alpha]^{25}_{D} - 3$ (*c* 0.5, MeOH); IR (KBr) ν_{max} 3358, 2922, 2852, 1649, 1540, 1463, 1079, 1039 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR data, Table S1; HRESIMS *m*/*z* 728.5646 [M + H]⁺ (calcd for C₄₁H₇₈NO₉, 728.5677); ESIMS/MS *m*/*z* 728.6 [M + H]⁺, 710.6 [M + H - H₂O]⁺, 548.5 [M + H - 180]⁺, 530.5 [548.5 - H₂O]⁺, 296.3 and 278.3.

Chrysogedone A (6): yellow, amorphous powder; $[\alpha]^{25}_{D} - 17$ (*c* 0.1, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ε) 192 (3.90), 224 (4.20), 286 (4.12) nm; CD (MeOH), λ_{max} ($\Delta \varepsilon$) 206 (-3.9), 233 (+0.9), 289 (-0.6) nm; IR (KBr) ν_{max} 3482, 3392, 2961, 2934, 2874, 1715, 1641, 1557, 1459, 1381, 1296, 1188, 1104, 1071 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR data, Table 2; HREIMS *m*/*z* 181.1099 [M]⁺ (calcd for C₁₀H₁₅NO₂, 181.1103).

Chrysogedone B (7): yellow, amorphous powder; $[\alpha]^{25}_{D}$ –13 (*c* 0.1, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ε) 200 (3.77), 224 (4.04), 297 (3.98) nm; CD (MeOH), λ_{max} ($\Delta \varepsilon$) 208 (-8.3), 233 (+0.9), 296 (-1.2) nm; IR (KBr) ν_{max} 3481, 3392, 2961, 2924, 2865, 1716, 1645, 1558, 1447, 1177, 1101, 1026 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR data, Table 2; HRESIMS *m*/*z* 197.1041 [M]⁺ (calcd for C₁₀H₁₅NO₃, 197.1052).

Methanolysis of 1–5. By the same procedures used for alternaroside $C_{,3}^{,3}$ compound 2 (20 mg) was refluxed with 5% HCl–MeOH (5 mL) for 10 h to yield methyl (2*R*,3*E*)-hydroxynonadec-3-enoate (6.1 mg) and methyl D-glucopyranoside (5.1 mg). The methyl D-glucopyranosides (7.2

mg) were refluxed with 2 N HCl in $H_2O(1 \text{ mL})$ for 2 h to give D-glucose (3.5 mg). (2R,3E)-Hydroxynonadec-3-enoate: amorphous powder; $[\alpha]^{25}_{D}$ -51 (c 0.1, CHCl₃); GC-MS (30 m × 0.32 mm × 0.25 μ m HP-INNoWaX MS column: He, 2 mL/min; 40 °C, 2 min, 40–250 °C, Δ 15 °C/min, 250 °C, 10 min); $t_{\rm R}$ 16.92 min; m/z (rel int) 326 (M⁺, 0.3), 225 $(M^+ - 101, 16)$, 109 (11),89 (24), 81(16), 73 (23), 57 (50), 45 (100), 43 (55); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 600 MHz) δ 0.88 (3H, t, J = 7.1 Hz, H-19), 1.28 (26H, m, H-6-H-18), 2.05 (2H, q, J = 7.1 Hz, H-5), 2.87 (1H, br s, 2-OH), 3.80 (3H, 1-OCH₃), 4.61 (1H, d, J = 6.1 Hz, H-2), 5.49 (1H, dd, J = 15.4, 6.1 Hz, H-3), 5.88 (1H, dt, J = 15.4, 6.1 Hz, H-4); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 150 MHz) δ 14.3 (CH₃, C-19), 22.8 (CH₂, C-18), 29.0–29.8 (CH₂, C-6-C-16), 32.1 (CH₂, C-17), 32.3 (CH₂, C-5), 52.9 (CH₃, -OCH₃), 71.6 (CH, C-2), 126.0 (CH, C-3), 135.3 (CH, C-4), 174.5 (C, C-1); ESIMS m/z 349 [M + Na]⁺. Methyl D-glucopyranoside: amorphous powder; $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ +76 (*c* 0.05, MeOH); ESIMS *m*/*z* 195 [M + H]⁺; R_f 0.50/0.56 (CHCl₃-MeOH-H₂O, 7:3:0.5). D-Glucose: colorless syrup: $R_f 0.30/0.35$ (CHCl₃-MeOH-H₂O, 7:3:0.5); $[\alpha]^{25}_{D}$ +51 (c 0.1, H_2O) (standard D-glucose +54/L-glucose -56). The same results were also obtained from the methanolysis of 3 and 4. By the same procedures, compounds 1 and 5 afforded the same methyl D-glucopyranosides and Dglucose. In addition, compounds 1 (5 mg) and 5 (5 mg) also yield methyl 2R-hydroxyoctadec-3-enoate (2.1 mg) and 2R-hydroxynonadecanoate (1.9 mg), respectively. Methyl 2*R*-hydroxyoctadec-3-enoate: $[\alpha]^{25}_{D}$ – 56 (c 0.1, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 600 MHz) δ 0.88 (3H, t, J = 7.1 Hz, H-18), 1.28 (24H, m, H-6-H-17), 2.05 (2H, q, J = 7.1 Hz, H-5), 2.84 (1H, $d_1 J = 6.0 \text{ Hz}, 2-\text{OH}), 3.80 (3H, -\text{OCH}_3), 4.61 (1H, \text{br s}, \text{H}-2), 5.49 (1H, \text{br}), 5.$ dd, J = 15.4, 6.1 Hz, H-3), 5.87 (1H, dt, J = 15.4, 6.1 Hz, H-4); ESIMS m/z335 $[M + Na]^+$. Methyl 2*R*-hydroxynonadecanoate: $[\alpha]^{25}_{D}$ –50 (*c* 0.1, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 600 MHz) δ 0.88 (3H, t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, H-19), 1.28 (30H, m, H-4–H-18), 1.80 (2H, m, H-3), 2.01(1H, d, I = 3.3 Hz, 2-OH), 3.79 (3H, -OCH₃), 4.18 (1H, td, J = 4.4, 3.3 Hz, H-2); ESIMS m/ $z 351 [M + Na]^+$

Preparation of the (S)-and (R)-MTPA Esters of 6 by Modified Mosher's Method. Compound 6 (each 2.0 mg) was transferred into two clean NMR tubes and was dried completely under vacuum. Deuterated pyridine (each 0.5 mL) and R(-)- and S(+)- α -methoxy- α -(trifluoromethyl)phenylacetyl chloride (each 10 μ L) were separately added into the NMR tubes quickly under a N2 gas stream. The reaction NMR tubes were allowed to stand at room temperature for 48 h. ¹H NMR and ${}^{1}\text{H} - {}^{1}\text{H}$ COSY spectra of the corresponding (S)-MTPA ester (6a) and (R)-MTPA ester (6b) were measured directly, and the corresponding $\delta_{\rm H}$ values were assigned by ${}^{1}{\rm H}{-}^{1}{\rm H}$ COSY correlations. (S)-MTPA ester (**6a**): ¹H NMR (C_5D_5N , 600 MHz) δ 7.40 (1H, d, J = 9.0 Hz, H-3), 8.04 (1H, d, J = 9.5 Hz, H-4), 5.96 (1H, t, J = 6.4 Hz, H-1'), 1.54 (1H, m, H-2'a), 1.41 (1H, m, H-2'b), 1.31 (1H, m, H-3'a), 1.18 $(1H, m, H-3'b), 2.32 (3H, s, 6-CH_3), 0.76 (3H, t, J = 7.3 Hz, H-4'). (R)$ -MTPA ester (**6b**): ¹H NMR (C_5D_5N , 600 MHz) δ 7.39 (1H, d, J = 9.1Hz, H-3), 8.03 (1H, d, J = 9.5 Hz, H-4), 6.05 (1H, t, J = 6.4 Hz, H-1'), 1.70 (1H, m, H-2'a), 1.57 (1H, m, H-2'b), 1.41 (1H, m, H-3'a), 1.28 $(1H, m, H-3'b), 2.31 (3H, s, 6-CH_3), 0.82 (3H, t, J = 7.3 Hz, H-4').$

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information. NMR spectra of 1-7, ESIMS/ MS of 1-5, GC-MS of methanolysis products of 1-5, mass fragmentation pattern in compounds 1-5, ¹H and ¹³C NMR data of compounds 3-5, and bioassay protocols used. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*Tel: 0086-532-82031268. Fax: 0086-532-82031268. E-mail: weimingzhu@ouc.edu.cn.

Author Contributions

[‡]These authors made equal contributions to this paper.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by grants from National Basic Research Program of China (No. 2010CB833800), from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 30973680 & 30670219), from the Special Fund for Marine Scientific Research in the Public Interest of China (No. 2010418022-3), and from PCSIRT (No. IRT0944). The cytotoxicity assay was performed at Division of Molecular Pharmacology, OUC. The working strain PXP-55 was identified by Prof. C. X. Fang, China Center for Type Culture Collection.

REFERENCES

(1) Zheng, J. K.; Zhu, H. J.; Hong, K.; Wang, Y.; Liu, P. P.; Wang, X.; Peng, X. P.; Zhu, W. M. Org. Lett. **2009**, *11*, 5262–5265.

(2) Lu, Z. Y.; Zhu, H. J.; Fu, P.; Wang, Y.; Zhang, Z. H.; Lin, H. P.; Liu, P. P.; Zhuang, Y. B.; Hong, K.; Zhu, W. M. J. Nat. Prod. 2010, 73, 911–914.

(3) Wang, W. L.; Wang, Y.; Tao, H. W.; Peng, X. P.; Liu, P. P.; Zhu, W. M. J. Nat. Prod. **2009**, 72, 1695–1698.

(4) Stierle, D. B.; Stierle, A. A.; Hobbs, J. D.; Stokken, J.; Clardy, J. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 1049–1052.

(5) Lee, I.-S.; Elsohly, H. N.; Croom, E. M.; Hufford, C. D. J. Nat. Prod. 1989, 52, 337–341.

(6) Gao, Q.; Leet, J. E.; Thomajsa, S. T.; Matson, J. A. J. Nat. Prod. 1995, 58, 1817–1821.

(7) Kim, H. J.; Lee, I.-S. J. Nat. Prod. 2006, 69, 1522–1524.

(8) Bringmann, G.; Lang, G.; Gulder, T. A. M.; Tsuruta, H.; Muhlbacher, J.; Maksimenka, K.; Steffens, S.; Schaumann, K.; Stohr, R.; Wiese, J.; Imhoff, J. F.; Perovic-Ottstadt, S.; Boreiko, O.; Muller, W. E. G. *Tetrahedron* **2005**, *61*, 7252–7265.

(9) Shaltanova, E. N.; Gerus, I. I.; Kukhar, V. P. Tetrahedron Lett. 2008, 49, 1184–1187.

(10) Pedras, M. S. C.; Yu, Y. Can. J. Chem.-Rev. Can. Chim. 2009, 87, 556-562.

(11) Takaya, Y.; Furukawa, T.; Miura, S.; Akutagawa, T.; Hotta, Y.; Ishikawa, N.; Niwa, M. J. Agric. Food Chem. **2007**, 55, 75–79.

(12) Otsuka, H.; Takeda, Y.; Hirata, E.; Shinzato, T.; Bando, M. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 2004, 52, 591–596.

(13) Bose, D. S.; Narsaiah, A. V. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2005, 13, 627-630.

(14) Agerbirk, N.; Warwick, S. I.; Hansen, P. R.; Olsen, C. E. *Phytochemistry* **2008**, 69, 2937–2949.

(15) Shu, R. G.; Wang, F. W.; Yang, Y. M.; Liu, Y. X.; Tan, R. X. Lipids **2004**, 39, 667–673.

(16) Wong, M. W.; Wiberg, K. B.; Frisch, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 1645–1652.

(17) Kusumi, T.; Fujita, Y.; Ohtani, I.; Kakisawa, H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1991**, 32, 2923–2926.

(18) Mosmann, T. J. Immunol. Methods 1983, 65, 55-63.

(19) Skehan, P.; Storeng, R.; Scudiero, D.; Monks, A.; McMahon, J.; Vistica, D.; Warren, J. T.; Bokesch, H.; Kenney, S.; Boyd, M. R. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. **1990**, 82, 1107–1112.

(20) Zaika, L. L. J. Food Safety 1988, 9, 97-118.